Dose rate effects in the radiation damage of the plastic scintillators of the CMS Hadron Endcap calorimeter
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Abstract: We present measurements of the reduction of light output by plastic scintillators irradiated in the CMS detector during the 8 TeV run of the Large Hadron Collider and show that the damage for a given dose is larger for lower dose rate exposures. The results agree with previous measurements of dose rate effects. Radiation-hard detectors, Scintillators and scintillating fibres and light guides
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A. We present measurements of the reduction of light output by plastic scintillators irradiated in the CMS detector during the 8 TeV run of the Large Hadron Collider and show that they indicate a strong dose rate effect. The damage for a given dose is larger for lower dose rate exposures. The results agree with previous measurements of dose rate effects, but are stronger due to the very low dose rates probed. We show that the scaling with dose rate is consistent with that expected from diffusion effects.
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Abstract – In this work, a single crystal CVD diamond film with a novel three-dimensional (3D) interdigitated electrode geometry has been fabricated with the Reactive Ion Etching (RIE) technique in order to increase the charge collection efficiency (CCE) with respect to that obtained by standard superficial electrodes. The geometrical arrangement of the electric field lines due to the 3D patterning of the electrodes results in a shorter travel path for the excess charge carriers, thus contributing to a more efficient charge collection mechanism. The CCE of the device was mapped by means of the Ion Beam Induced Charge (IBIC) technique. A 1 MeV proton micro-beam was raster scanned over the active area of the diamond detector under different bias voltage conditions, enabling to probe the charge transport properties of the detector up to a depth of 8 μm below the sample surface. The experimental results, supported by the numerical simulations, show a significant improvement in the 3D-detector performance (i.e. CCE, energy resolution, extension of the active area) if compared with the results obtained by standard surface metallic electrodes.

Introduction. – Diamond has extreme physical and electronic properties such as high thermal conductivity, wide band gap, high breakdown field and high carrier mobilities [1, 2]. These properties make diamond an ideal candidate for the fabrication of radiation sensors especially for photon and particle detection where other materials hardly reach good performances. It is well known that the electronic properties of diamond can be influenced by recombination and trapping of free carriers on defect-induced localized states in the band-gap that may result in inadequate signal response and stability [3, 4]. However, the chemical vapour deposition (CVD) offers a technology for producing high-quality, intrinsic homoepitaxially grown layers on low-cost single crystal diamond substrates under tightly controlled growth conditions [5,6].

To this purpose, detectors based on high-quality homoepitaxially grown CVD single-crystal diamond were developed at the Department of Industrial Engineering of University of Rome “Tor Vergata” for both nuclear particles and photon radiation [7, 8]. Different device structures including photoresistive devices and Schottky photodiode both in sandwich or planar interdigitated structure have been reported in literature [9, 10]. Many authors have reported investigations of single and poly crystals.

line CVD diamond detectors with planar metal electrodes placed on the top of diamond surface [11-13,A]. However, due to the detection geometry of the device, producing an inhomogeneous electric field substantially confined in the region close to the detector surface, they show a low charge collection efficiency especially for ion detection[13, 14, A]. For this reason, recently new charge collection geometries have been explored through the fabrication of 3D graphitic electrodes in the diamond bulk, either by ion- [15] or laser-microbeam [16-18] direct writing.

In this paper, the fabrication, modeling and characterization of a novel three-dimensional interdigitated electrode geometry on single crystal CVD diamond layer is presented and studied. The 3D device has been developed in order to improve its charge collection efficiency (CCE). In particular, the detector was fabricated by hard metal masking followed by Reactive Ion Etching (RIE). Its CCE response was compared with the response of a similar detector based on standard superficial electrodes by means of Ion Beam Induced Charge (IBIC) mapping. Finally, the CCE performance was numerically modeled by means of Finite Element Methods (FEM) codes.

Experimental – Sample preparation. The fabrication process of the novel detector is shown in Fig. 1. A (50 ± XX)
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ABSTRACT

A microstructured graphitic 4×4 multielectrode array was embedded in a single-crystal diamond substrate (4×4 μm² SCD MEA) for real-time monitoring of exocytotic events from cultured chromaffin cells and adrenal slices. The current approach relies on the development of a parallel ion beam lithographic technique, which assures the time-effective fabrication of extended arrays with reproducible electrode dimensions.

The reported device is suitable for performing amperometric and voltammetric recordings with high sensitivity and temporal resolution, by simultaneously acquiring data from 16 rectangularly shaped microelectrodes (20×3.5 μm²) separated by 200 μm gaps. Taking advantage of the array geometry we addressed the following specific issues: i) detect both the spontaneous and KCl-evoked secretion simultaneously from several chromaffin cells directly cultured on the device surface, ii) resolve the waveform of different subsets of exocytotic events, iii) monitoring quantal secretory events from thin slices of the adrenal gland.

The frequency of spontaneous release was low (0.12 Hz and 0.3 Hz respectively for adrenal slices and cultured cells) and increased up to 0.9 Hz after stimulation with 30 mM KCl in cultured cells. The spike amplitude as well as rise and decay time were comparable with those measured by carbon fiber microelectrodes and allowed to identify three different subsets of secretory events associated to “full fusion” events, “kiss-and-run” and “kiss-and-stay” exocytosis, confirming that the device has adequate sensitivity and time resolution for real-time recordings. The device offers the significant advantage of shortening the time to collect data by allowing simultaneous recordings from cell populations either in primary cell cultures or in intact tissues.

Keywords: Single-crystal CVD diamond, graphitic micro-electrode arrays, chromaffin cells, adrenal gland slices, exocytosis.
A simple setup to measure muon lifetime and electron energy spectrum of muon decay and its Monte Carlo simulation

Yushi Hu#, Tianye Wang#, Yefeng Mei, Zhao Zhang, and Chuangang Ning*

Department of Physics, State Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

#The authors are students of The High School Affiliated to Renmin University of China, Beijing.

*Email: ningcg@tsinghua.edu.cn

Abstract

We designed a simple setup to measure the muon lifetime and the electron energy spectra of muon decay. A low cost coincidental circuit was designed to select the signals of muon decay events detected by a plastic scintillator detector. It triggered a digital oscilloscope to record the signals of muon decay events for measuring the muon lifetime and electron energy spectrum. A Landau-distribution energy loss method was introduced to conduct the energy calibration of the system. The experimental results were well reproduced by the Monte Carlo simulation. The software and hardware of the system are completely open to students, thus more helpful for instruction and motivation.

I. Introduction

Muon (µ), the heavy cousin of electron, is a truly elementary particle. It has a unitary negative electric charge of −1 and a spin of 1/2, a much greater mass (105 MeV/c²) [1-3]. The antiparticle of muon is µ⁺, with a charge state +1. On Earth, most of the naturally occurring muons are created by pions (π⁻ → µ⁻ + νµ, π⁺ → µ⁺ + νµ) in cosmic rays. Here νµ is muon type neutrino, and ν̄µ is anti-muon type neutrino. Most of muons are created at 15 km above sea level and have strong penetrability because they do not involve in the strong interaction. At sea level about three-fourths of high energy particles are muons with an average energy of about 4 GeV. The flux of muons on sea level is about 1~2 cm⁻²·min⁻¹ [1-3]. Compared with the generic nuclear and particle experiments, which require radioactive sources or accelerators that are limitedly used under the safety control, muons are delivered free into the classroom by the cosmic radiation without interruption. Like most
CP-Conservation in QCD and why only “invisible” Axions work
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Among solutions of the strong CP problem, the “invisible” axion in the narrow axion window is argued to be the remaining possibility among natural solutions on the smallness of $\bar{\theta}$. Related to the gravity spoil of global symmetries, some prospective invisible axions from theory point of view are discussed. In all these discussions, including the observational possibility, cosmological constraints must be included.

1 Introduction

From a fundamental theory point of view, presumably the most fundamental parameters are given at a mass-defining scale which is considered to be the Planck mass $M_P \simeq 2.43 \times 10^{18}$ GeV. In this sense, we consider that a natural mass scale is the Planck mass and/or some scale suppressed by a small coupling compared to $M_P$, i.e., such as the GUT scale.

Then, all smaller mass scales much smaller than $M_P$ are better to come from some symmetry arguments. Such symmetries are chiral symmetry for fermions and global symmetries for scalars. The smallness of electron mass compared to $M_P$ by a factor of $10^{-22}$ is better come from a chiral symmetry. In the standard model (SM), the chiral symmetry is intrinsically implemented in the fermion representations in the SM. Even though the original Kaluza-Klein model for electromagnetism looked impressive, it fails badly here [1]. A spontaneously broken chiral symmetry leads to a massless Goldstone boson [2]. Among Goldstone bosons, invisible axion [3] is the most interesting one as this conference witnesses. The invisible axion arises from spontaneously breaking the Peccei-Quinn (PQ) global symmetry [4].

2 The strong CP problem

Because of instanton solutions of QCD, there exists a gauge invariant vacuum parametrized by an angle $\bar{\theta}$ which is the coefficient of the gluon anomaly, $G^a \tilde{G}^a$. It is the flavor singlet and acted as the source for solving the U(1) problem of QCD [5]. Thus, this $\bar{\theta}$ term is physical, but it leads to the so-called “strong CP problem”: it comes from the smallness of neutron electric dipole moment (nEDM), “Why is the nEDM so small?” [3]. In this regard, we try to explain why the invisible axion is the remaining solution in natural schemes toward understanding the strong CP problem as presented in the title.

If the CP violating coupling $g_{\pi NN}$ is present, nEDM is calculated to be (with the CP
Jet-like correlations with neutral pion triggers in pp and central Pb–Pb collisions at 2.76 TeV
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Abstract

We present measurements of two-particle correlations with neutral pion trigger particles of transverse momenta $8 < p_T^{\text{trig}} < 16$ GeV/$c$ and associated charged particles of $0.5 < p_T^{\text{assoc}} < 10$ GeV/$c$ versus the azimuthal angle difference $\Delta \phi$ at midrapidity in pp and central Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV with ALICE. The new measurements exploit associated charged hadrons down to 0.5 GeV/$c$, which significantly extends our previous measurement that only used charged hadrons above 3 GeV/$c$. After subtracting the contributions of the flow background, $v_2$ to $v_5$, the per-trigger yields are extracted for $|\Delta \phi| < 0.7$ on the near and for $|\Delta \phi - \pi| < 1.1$ on the away side. The ratio of per-trigger yields in Pb–Pb to those in pp collisions, $I_{AA}$, is measured on the near and away side for the 0–10% most central Pb–Pb collisions. On the away side, the per-trigger yields in Pb–Pb are strongly suppressed to the level of $I_{AA} \approx 0.6$ for $p_T^{\text{assoc}} > 3$ GeV/$c$, while with decreasing momenta an enhancement develops reaching about 5 at low $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$. On the near side, an enhancement of $I_{AA}$ between 1.2 at the highest to 1.8 at the lowest $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$ is observed. The data are compared to parton-energy-loss predictions of the JEWEL and AMPT event generators, as well as to a perturbative QCD calculation with medium-modified fragmentation functions. All calculations qualitatively describe the away-side suppression at high $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$. Only AMPT captures the enhancement at low $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$, both on the near and away side. However, it also underpredicts $I_{AA}$ above 5 GeV/$c$, in particular on the near-side.

© 2016 CERN for the benefit of the ALICE Collaboration.
Reproduction of this article or parts of it is allowed as specified in the [CC-BY-4.0 license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
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Constraints on hadronic models in extensive air showers with the Pierre Auger Observatory
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Abstract. Extensive air showers initiated by ultra-high energy cosmic rays are sensitive to the details of hadronic interactions models, so we present the main results obtained using the data of the Pierre Auger Observatory. The depth at which the maximum of the electromagnetic development takes place is the most sensitive parameter to infer the nature of the cosmic rays. However, the hadronic models cannot describe consistently the maximum and the muon measurements at energies higher than those reached at the LHC.

1 Introduction

Particle physics at energies beyond the reach of accelerators can be studied with air showers induced by ultra high energy cosmic rays (UHECR). Data from the Pierre Auger Observatory \cite{1,2}, indicate that the hadronic interaction models EPOS-LHC and QGSJetII-04 have a muon deficit of around 30\% to 80\% \cite{3}, respectively, to match the primary composition inferred from the depth of the electromagnetic (EM) shower maximum. A UHECR collides with an atmospheric nucleus and initiates an extensive air shower (EAS) of secondary particles. After the first interaction $\sim$ 80\% of the particles produced are pions and $\sim$ 8\% are kaons. In each hadronic interaction, the produced $\pi^0$ carry around 25\% of the energy, and they immediately decay into photons, feeding the electromagnetic cascade. The rest of the energy is carried mainly by charged pions (and also other mesons and baryons), which continue the hadronic cascade. The mesons propagate until their energy drops below their critical energy, $O$(100 GeV), and then they decay into muons among other things. The electromagnetic development and muonic content depend on the primary composition and the hadronic interaction properties, such as the fraction of EM energy per interaction, multiplicities, hadronic cross sections, etc. So, assuming that the composition can be properly understood, a discrepancy between observed and predicted muon numbers, must indicate an inaccurate description of the hadronic models.

The Pierre Auger Observatory is the largest hybrid cosmic-ray detector. It has two main detection techniques: the surface detectors (SD) which consists of an array of 1660 water-Cherenkov stations extended over $\sim$ 3000 km$^2$ with an almost 100\% duty cycle, and the fluorescence detectors (FD) composed of 27 telescopes operating with a $\sim$ 15\% duty cycle. To understand the muon puzzle, an upgrade is planned for the Observatory\cite{4}, to be able to separate the muonic and electromagnetic components of the shower at the ground. Due to the limited space available here, we will briefly discuss the main features of muon studies and electromagnetic profiles.

\textsuperscript{*}e-mail: jespada@lip.pt
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In this note, using the colour string model, we study the configuration space distribution of stopped nucleons in heavy-ion collisions. We find that the stopped nucleons from the target and the projectile end up separated from each other by the distance increasing with the collision energy. In consequence, for the center of mass energies larger than 6 or 10 GeV (depending on the details of the model) it appears that the system created is not in thermal and chemical equilibrium, and the net baryon density reached is likely not much higher than that already present in the colliding nuclei.
Alignment without Decoupling: the Portal to Light Dark Matter in the MSSM
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We study light, thermal neutralino dark matter in the sub-GeV to \( \sim 65 \) GeV mass range in the Minimal Supersymmetric extension of the Standard Model (MSSM). We consider realizations of the limit of alignment without decoupling in the Higgs sector where the heavier \( CP \)-even Higgs impersonates the observed Higgs state at 125 GeV, while the lighter \( CP \)-even Higgs is the mediator of dark matter annihilation. We single out three distinct and novel possibilities for light dark matter: (i) a neutralino with mass around half the light Higgs mass, in the \( CP \)-odd MSSM Bosons mass range; (ii) a neutralino with a mass around the pseudoscalar Higgs boson mass, in our examples around \( (60 - 65) \) GeV; (iii) a very light neutralino with mass around the light Higgs mass, pair-annihilating to Higgs pairs. We discuss the implications of all these possibilities for indirect and direct dark matter detection experiments, and we demonstrate that all scenarios will be tested by next generation direct detection experiments. We also emphasize that the unique Higgs phenomenology of these scenarios warrants a dedicated search program at the LHC.

I. INTRODUCTION

Softly-broken electroweak scale supersymmetry has long been considered a compelling solution to both the hierarchy and the dark matter problem [1][3]. Null results from searches for supersymmetric particles as well as the discovery of a Standard Model (SM) Higgs-like scalar state at a mass around 125 GeV [4][5] at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) have excluded a number of possible incarnations of low-energy supersymmetry, and have greatly constrained the range of realizations for supersymmetric dark matter. Within the Minimal Supersymmetric extension of the Standard Model (MSSM) [6][8], it has been argued [9] that thermal neutralino dark matter lighter than 30 GeV is no longer a possibility, given the Higgs mass measurements, constraints from the invisible decay modes of the \( Z \) boson, and mass limits for charged supersymmetric particles from the Large Electron-Positron Collider (LEP).

The Higgs sector of the \( CP \)-conserving MSSM consists of two Higgs doublets, \( H_u \) and \( H_d \), which give rise to five physical Higgs states after electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB): two \( CP \)-even neutral Higgs bosons, \( h \) and \( H \) (where \( h \) is lighter than \( H \) by definition), one \( CP \)-odd neutral Higgs boson, \( A \), and one charged Higgs state (and its conjugate), \( H^\pm \). At tree-level, the MSSM Higgs sector can be described by only two parameters, often chosen to be the \( CP \)-odd Higgs mass, \( M_A \) (or alternatively the charged Higgs mass, \( M_{H^\pm} \)), and \( \tan \beta \equiv v_d/v_u \), where \( v_u,d \) are the vacuum expectation values of the neutral, real components of \( H_u,d \).

In order to be consistent with the LHC observations [4][5], the MSSM must yield for one of the \( CP \)-even MSSM Higgs bosons a mass value of \( \sim 125 \) GeV, and signal rates close enough to those predicted for the SM Higgs boson. Such a SM-like Higgs boson can be obtained in two different ways:

(i) the light \( CP \)-even Higgs boson \( h \) obtains SM-like couplings in the decoupling limit, where the remaining Higgs states are rather heavy \( (m \gtrsim 300 \) GeV) [10][13],

(ii) the light Higgs boson \( h \) or the heavy Higgs boson \( H \) obtains SM-like couplings in the limit of alignment without decoupling [14][15], obtained for rather specific parameter space choices (see below).

Ref. [16] recently demonstrated that both scenarios are viable in light of the combined constraints from Higgs mass and rate measurements, LHC searches for non-SM-like Higgs bosons and supersymmetric particles as well as low energy observables including the rare \( B \) decays \( b \to s\gamma \), \( B_s \to \mu^+\mu^- \) and \( B_\tau \to \tau\nu \) (see also Refs. [17][23] and [18][24][29] for earlier studies of \( h \) or \( H \), respectively, being the MSSM candidate for the scalar discovered at the LHC.) In particular, both the light and the heavy Higgs interpretation of the 125 GeV scalar state achieved in the limit of alignment without decoupling are viable.

The MSSM scenario of alignment without decoupling in the Higgs sector — in both its incarnations with a SM-like light or heavy Higgs boson — is an exciting possibility for the LHC, as the remaining Higgs states are expected to be light and within discovery reach in the near future. In the MSSM, this limit can only occur through an accidental cancellation of tree-level and higher-order contributions to the \( CP \)-even Higgs mass matrix squared. In turn, this only happens for a large Higgsino mass parameter, \( \mu \), and (in some cases) a large trilinear coupling for the scalar top (stop) quark, \( A_t \).

More precisely, in order for alignment without decoupling to occur at reasonably low \( \tan \beta \) values that are experimentally allowed one needs \( \mu/M_S \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(2-3) \) [16], where the SUSY mass scale \( M_S \) is defined as the geometric mean of the two stop masses, \( M_{\tilde{t}_1}\tilde{t}_2} = \sqrt{m_{\tilde{t}_1}m_{\tilde{t}_2}} \).

In this work we explore the dark matter (DM) phenomenology of the alignment without decoupling scenario of the MSSM Higgs sector, and we consider the lightest neutralino, \( \chi_1^0 \), as the DM candidate. In the MSSM the lightest neutralino is a linear combination of the fermionic superpartners of the
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1 The approximate one-loop contributions relevant for the MSSM alignment without decoupling scenario have been discussed in Refs. [14][15]. Leading two-loop corrections have been briefly addressed in Ref. [16] and will be assessed in more detail in an upcoming publication [30].
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We report new constraints on the size of large extra dimensions from data collected by the MINOS experiment between 2005 and 2012. Our analysis employs a model in which sterile neutrinos arise as Kaluza-Klein states in large extra dimensions and thus modify the neutrino oscillation probabilities due to mixing between active and sterile neutrino states. Using Fermilab’s NuMI beam exposure...
A hidden classical symmetry of QCD
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Abstract. The classical part of the QCD partition function (the integrand) has, ignoring irrelevant exact zero modes of the Dirac operator, a local $SU(2N_F) \supset SU(N_F)_L \times SU(N_F)_R \times U(1)_A$ symmetry which is absent at the Lagrangian level. This symmetry is broken anomalously and spontaneously. Effects of spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry are contained in the near-zero modes of the Dirac operator. If physics of anomaly is also encoded in the same near-zero modes, then their truncation on the lattice should recover a hidden classical $SU(2N_F)$ symmetry in correlators and spectra. This naturally explains observation on the lattice of a large degeneracy of hadrons, that is higher than the $SU(N_F)_L \times SU(N_F)_R \times U(1)_A$ chiral symmetry, upon elimination by hands of the lowest-lying modes of the Dirac operator. We also discuss an implication of this symmetry for the high temperature QCD.

1 Introduction

The QCD Lagrangian in Minkowski space-time has in the chiral limit the chiral symmetry:

$$U(N_F)_L \times U(N_F)_R = SU(N_F)_L \times SU(N_F)_R \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_V.$$ (1)

In the following we will always drop the $U(1)_V$ symmetry that is irrelevant to our subject. The $U(1)_A$ symmetry is invariance of the Lagrangian upon the axial flavor-neutral transformation

$$\Psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha_5} \Psi(x); \quad \bar{\Psi}(x) \rightarrow \bar{\Psi}(x)e^{i\alpha_5}.$$ (2)

The $SU(N_F)_L \times SU(N_F)_R$ symmetry implies invariance under a pure flavor rotation $SU(N_F)$ ( for $N_F = 2$ it is the isospin symmetry) as well as invariance under the axial flavor transformation

$$\Psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\gamma_5 \frac{\lambda_2}{2}} \Psi(x); \quad \bar{\Psi}(x) \rightarrow \bar{\Psi}(x)e^{i\gamma_5 \frac{\lambda_2}{2}},$$ (3)

where $\lambda$ are $SU(N_F)$ generators.

The axial $U(1)_A$ symmetry is broken anomalously, which is due to a noninvariance of the integration measure in the functional integral under a local $U(1)_A$ transformation [1]. The $SU(N_F)_A$ “symmetry” is broken spontaneously, because the ground state of the theory, the vacuum, is not invariant under the transformation [3]. The latter noninvariance is encoded in the nonzero quark condensate of the vacuum, $\langle 0 | \bar{\Psi}(x) \Psi(x) | 0 \rangle \neq 0$. The quark condensate of the vacuum can be expressed
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The $\Xi$ baryons are expected to be naturally narrower as compared to their non-strange and strange counterparts since they have only one light quark and thus, their decay involves producing either a light meson and doubly strange baryon or both meson and baryon with strangeness which involves, relatively, more energy. In fact, some $\Xi$'s have full widths of the order of even 10-20 MeV when, in principle, they have a large phase space to decay to some open channels. Such is the case of $\Xi(1690)$, for which the width has been found to be of the order of 10 MeV in the latest BABAR and BELLE data. In this manuscript we study why some $\Xi$'s are so narrow. By using a coupled channel calculation of the pseudoscalar meson-baryon and vector meson-baryon systems with chiral and hidden local symmetry Lagrangians, we find that the answer lies in the intricate hadron dynamics. We find another narrow resonance which can be related to $\Xi(2120)$. We also look for exotic states $\Xi^+$ and $\Xi^-\bar{\Sigma}$ but find none. In addition we provide the cross sections for $K\Lambda, K\Sigma \to \pi\Xi$ which can be useful for understanding the enhanced yield of $\Xi$ reported in recent studies of heavy ion collisions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Little is known about $\Xi$ resonances since it is difficult to produce them in the laboratory directly. There are limited facilities around the world which have anti-kaon beams and a beam of nonstrange nature on a nucleon leads to low yields of $\Xi$ baryons as two pairs of strange quarks are required to be produced in this case. However, efforts are being made to improve this situation. For example, the photoproduction of $\Xi$'s on nucleons is being explored currently at the Jefferson laboratory considering the possibility of the production of a hyperon resonance in the intermediate state, thus producing the strange quark pairs in two steps. Also, more information is expected to come in future from the J-PARC and PANDA facilities.

In addition, studies made by BELLE and BABAR collaborations show that it is possible to extract useful information on this subject from rare processes too. Specifically, some intriguing findings related to the properties of $\Xi(1690)$ have been reported in such studies. We find it useful to list these findings, and other relevant information on $\Xi(1690)$, in a separate subsection dedicated to $\Xi(1690)$ below.

A. $\Xi(1690)$

The first observation of $\Xi(1690)$ in the $K\Sigma$ invariant mass spectrum was reported in Ref. [7], where the mass and width were determined to be $M = (1694 \pm 6)$ MeV, $\Gamma = (26 \pm 6)$ MeV in the negatively charged channel and $M = (1684 \pm 5)$ MeV, $\Gamma = (20 \pm 4)$ MeV in the neutral channel. The spin-parity of this state was not determined in Ref. [7]. Although the mass values obtained by the latest investigations [5, 6] are not very different, the width of $\Xi(1690)$ has been determined to be even narrower, of the order of 10 MeV [3, 4]. To mention explicit results, the BABAR collaboration deduced the mass and width of $\Xi(1690)$ to be: $M = (1684.7 \pm 1.3^{+2.2}_{-1.8})$ MeV, $\Gamma = (8.1^{+3.9}_{-3.5} \pm 1.9)$ MeV [6], and the BELLE Collaboration reported: $M = (1688 \pm 2)$ MeV, $\Gamma = (11 \pm 4)$ MeV [5]. Both studies obtained spin-parity of this state as $1/2^-$. It was also found in Ref. [6] that a clear evidence for $\Xi^0(1690)$ is found in the $K\Lambda$ invariant mass spectrum but not in the $\pi^+\Xi^-$ spectrum. The information on the branching ratio of $\Xi^0(1690)$ to $K\Lambda$ and $K\Sigma$ has been updated in Ref. [5] to,

$$B(\Xi^0(1690) \to K^-\Sigma^+) = 0.50 \pm 0.26.$$  \hfill (1)

as compared to the value $(1.8 \pm 0.6)$ obtained from the older analysis of Ref. [7].

These properties are difficult to understand, for example, the narrow width of $\Xi(1690)$ in spite of having a large phase space to decay to open channels, like, $\pi\Xi$ and $K\Lambda$. Similar is the case of the finding related to the suppressed decay of $\pi\Xi$, as compared to the decay to the $K\Lambda$ channel (as is evident from the difficulty in the identification of the signal of $\Xi^0(1690)$ in the $\pi^+\Xi^-$ spectrum of Refs. [5, 6]). A light quark pair production, within a naive quark model, is equivalently required in both cases (as can be seen in Fig. 1). The branching fraction to the $K\Sigma$ and $K\Lambda$ channels (shown in Eq. (1)) is also counterintuitive: there is little phase space for decay to the former one and one would anticipate this ratio to be much smaller.

In fact, these experimental findings have motivated
Complete $\alpha^6 m$ corrections to the ground state of $H_2$
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We perform the calculation of all relativistic and quantum electrodynamic corrections of the order of $\alpha^6 m$ to the ground electronic state of a hydrogen molecule and present improved results for the dissociation and the fundamental transitions energies. These results open the window for the high-precision spectroscopy of $H_2$ and related low-energy tests of fundamental interactions.
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The hydrogen atom and various hydrogenic systems like positronium, muonium, muonic hydrogen, and $He^+$, due to highly accurate theoretical predictions [1], are considered for the determination of fundamental physical constants [2] and for the low-energy tests of the Standard Model [3,4]. However, they are limited by uncertainties in the nuclear structure or natural life-time of the system. The $1S-2S$ transition in $H$ is the best example, where the high-precision measurement $\langle 1S - 2S \rangle = 2.466061413187035(10)$ Hz [5] exceeds by orders of magnitude any theoretical predictions. This is because of the relatively large theoretical uncertainties in the proton structure and resulting inaccuracies in fundamental constants. The lack of the other sharp transition in the hydrogen makes the determination of the Rydberg constant ($R_\infty$) much less accurate than it would be if the other such transition was available. Here we point out that the dissociation energy of $H_2$ can serve this purpose. $H_2$ in the ground electronic state is a stable molecule and can be calculated with sufficient precision to improve determination of the $R_\infty$ constant and to resolve the proton charge radius puzzle.

The calculations for the hydrogen molecule have never been considered to be as accurate as for hydrogen due to the lack of an analytic solution of the Schrödinger equation. However, the numerical solution of this equation, as has been shown recently [6], can be as accurate as $10^{-12}$, and thus it will not limit the accuracy of theoretical predictions. There are obviously various corrections, such as relativistic and quantum electrodynamic (QED) ones. So far, they have been calculated up to $\alpha^5 m$ order [7], and only in the adiabatic approximation. Beyond this approximation, namely the combined nonadiabatic and relativistic effects, have not yet been obtained and they will limit the accuracy of current predictions. Here we calculate one of the most difficult, the $\alpha^6 m$ correction, using the so-called nonrelativistic QED approach. Next, we point out that when the higher order $\alpha^7 m$ correction is determined, energies of the hydrogen molecule can be obtained almost as accurately as those of the hydrogen atom alone, and thus may be used for determination of the $R_\infty$ constant. Meanwhile, on the basis of the $\alpha^6 m$ correction obtained herein, we will present improved results for the dissociation and the fundamental transitions energies.

NRQED effective Hamiltonian Since there is no formulation of QED theory based on a multielectron Dirac equation with Coulomb interactions, we use an effective nonrelativistic QED (NRQED) approach that is based on the Schrödinger equation. According to QED theory, the expansion of energy levels in powers of the fine structure constant $\alpha$ has the following form

$$E(\alpha) = E^{(2)} + E^{(4)} + E^{(5)} + E^{(6)} + E^{(7)} + O(\alpha^8),$$

where $E^{(n)}$ is a contribution of order $\alpha^n m$ and may include powers of $\ln \alpha$. Each expansion term $E^{(n)}$ can be expressed as an expectation value of some effective Hamiltonian with the nonrelativistic wave function $\Phi$. The first one, $E^{(2)} \equiv E_0$, is the eigenvalue of the nonrelativistic Hamiltonian $H_0$. In the infinite nuclear mass approximation (in theoretical units $\hbar = c = 1$)

$$H_0 = \frac{\overrightarrow{p}_1^2}{2m} + \frac{\overrightarrow{p}_2^2}{2m} + V,$$

where

$$V = \frac{Z_A \alpha}{r_{1A}} - \frac{Z_A \alpha}{r_{2A}} - \frac{Z_B \alpha}{r_{1B}} - \frac{Z_B \alpha}{r_{2B}} + \frac{\alpha}{r} + \frac{Z_A Z_B \alpha}{r_{AB}},$$

$r = r_{12}$, and where indices 1 and 2 correspond to electrons, whereas $A$ and $B$ correspond to nuclei. The next term of this expansion $E^{(4)}$ is the expectation value of the well-known Breit-Pauli (BP) Hamiltonian $H^{(4)}$ [8]. $E^{(5)}$ is the leading QED contribution, which is well defined and can also be expressed in terms of matrix elements of somewhat more complicated operators [7,9]. The calculation of the next term in $\alpha$-expansion $E^{(6)}$ is the subject of the present work. It can be represented as

$$E^{(6)} = \left\langle H^{(6)} \right\rangle + \left\langle H^{(4)} \frac{1}{(E_0 - H_0)^2} H^{(4)} \right\rangle,$$

where $H^{(6)}$ is the effective Hamiltonian of order $\alpha^6 m$. Its derivation is presented in the following paragraph. Here, the second-order contribution, and correspondingly $H^{(4)}$, is split into two parts depending on the symmetry of intermediate
Remarks on the effects of the Gribov copies on the
infrared behavior of higher dimensional Yang-Mills
theory

M. S. Guimaraes*, A. D. Pereira†, S. P. Sorella‡

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss non-perturbative infrared features of Yang-Mills theory in Euclidean space-time dimensions greater than four in the Landau gauge and within the Refined Gribov-Zwanziger framework, which enables us to take into account the existence of gauge copies by restricting the domain of integration in the path integral to the Gribov region. Evidences for a decoupling/massive solution for the gluon propagator in higher dimensions are provided. This behavior is strengthened the bigger the dimension is. Further, we show that, by a dimensional reduction of the Refined Gribov-Zwanziger action from five to four dimensions, a non-perturbative coupling between the inverse of the Faddeev-Popov operator and the scalar field corresponding to the fifth component of the gauge field naturally arises, being in agreement with the recently proposed mechanism [1] to generalize the Refined Gribov-Zwanziger construction to the matter sector.

1 Introduction

The understanding of the non-perturbative infrared behavior of Yang-Mills theory in four dimensions is one of the main goals of theoretical particle physics. Such achievement would account for the mechanism behind the confinement of gluons and quarks. Although a complete framework is not yet at our disposal, much progress has been done in the last decades through different paths, see [2, 3] for a general overview.

One possible approach to the confinement problem is through the non-perturbative study of the correlation functions of the elementary gluon and quark fields, a topic which is under intense investigation. In particular, the two-point gluon and quark correlation functions have been and still are object of detailed analysis. Due to color confinement, it is expected that these two-point functions cannot display a consistent interpretation in terms of physical excitations of the spectrum of the theory. In fact, recent results obtained from the study of the Dyson-Schwinger equations, functional renormalization group, effective models and lattice simulations
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Dissipative Axial Inflation
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Abstract. We analyze in detail the background cosmological evolution of a scalar field coupled to a massless abelian gauge field through an axial term $\frac{\phi}{f}\gamma F \tilde{F}$, such as in the case of an axion. Gauge fields in this case are known to experience tachyonic growth and therefore can backreact on the background as an effective dissipation into radiation energy density $\rho_R$, which which can lead to inflation without the need of a flat potential. We analyze the system, for momenta $k$ smaller than the cutoff $f_\gamma$, including numerically the backreaction. We consider the evolution from a given static initial condition and explicitly show that, if $f_\gamma$ is smaller than the field excursion $\phi_0$ by about a factor of at least $O(20)$, there is a friction effect which turns on before that the field can fall down and which can then lead to a very long stage of inflation with a generic potential. In addition we find superimposed oscillations, which would get imprinted on any kind of perturbations, scalars and tensors. Such oscillations have a period of 4-5 e-folds and an amplitude which is typically less than a few percent and decreases linearly with $f_\gamma$. We also stress that the comoving curvature perturbation on uniform density should be sensitive to slow-roll parameters related to $\rho_R$ rather than $\phi^2/2$, although we postpone a calculation of the power spectrum and of non-Gaussianity to future work and we simply define and compute suitable slow roll parameters. Finally we stress that this scenario may be realized in the axion case, if the coupling $1/f_\gamma$ to U(1) (photons) is much larger than the coupling $1/f_G$ to non-abelian gauge fields (gluons), since the latter sets the range of the potential and therefore the maximal allowed $\phi_0 \sim f_G$. 
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Abstract
Renormalization group equations are an essential tool for the description of theories across different energy scales. Even though their expressions at two-loop for an arbitrary gauge field theory have been known for more than thirty years, deriving the full set of equations for a given model by hand is very challenging and prone to errors. To tackle this issue, we have introduced in [1] a Python tool called PyR@TE; Python Renormalization group equations @ Two-loop for Everyone. With PyR@TE, it is easy to implement a given Lagrangian and derive the complete set of two-loop RGEs for all the parameters of the theory. In this paper, we present the new version of this code, PyR@TE 2, which brings many new features and in particular it incorporates kinetic mixing when several U(1) gauge groups are involved. In addition, the group theory part has been greatly improved as we introduced a new Python module dubbed PyLie that deals with all the group theoretical aspects required for the calculation of the RGEs as well as providing very useful model building capabilities. This allows the use of any irreducible representation of the SU(n), SO(2n) and SO(2n + 1) groups. Furthermore, it is now possible to implement terms in the Lagrangian involving fields which can be contracted into gauge singlets in more than one way. As a byproduct, results for a popular model (SM+complex triplet) for which, to our knowledge, the complete set of two-loop RGEs has not been calculated before are presented in this paper. Finally, the two-loop RGEs for the anomalous dimension of the scalar and fermion fields have been implemented as well. It is now possible to export the coupled system of beta functions into a numerical C++ function, leading to a consequent speed up in solving them.

Keywords: Renormalization group equations, quantum field theory, running coupling constants, model building, physics beyond the Standard Model

1. Introduction

The renormalization group equations (RGEs) for general non-supersymmetrized gauge theories have been known at two-loop accuracy for more than 30 years [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Due to their importance, these results have been thoroughly scrutinized in the literature and a complete re-evaluation has been performed in [8]. The general RGEs have been implemented in the Python program PyR@TE [1]\textsuperscript{2} which automatically
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Kinetic mixing is a fundamental property of models with a gauge symmetry involving several U(1) group factors. In this paper, we perform a numerical study of the impact of kinetic mixing on beta functions at two-loop. To do so, we use the recently published PyR@TE 2 software to derive the complete set of RGEs of the SM B-L model at two-loop including kinetic mixing. We show that it is important to properly account for kinetic mixing as the evolution of the parameters with the energy scale can change drastically. In some cases, these modifications can even lead to a different conclusion regarding the stability of the scalar potential.
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I. INTRO

Renormalization group equations (RGEs) play a central role in studying the high-energy behaviour of extensions of the Standard Model (SM). The RGEs for an arbitrary gauge field theory at two-loop have been known for a long time \([1,2]\) and their derivation for specific models has been automated in \([7,8]\). An extra complication arises when the gauge structure contains multiple U(1) group factors as kinetic mixing can occur. This introduces \(\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\) extra dynamical parameters for each of which RGEs must be calculated. In addition, kinetic mixing induces modifications to the RGEs of the other parameters that also need to be taken into account for consistency. This work was carried out in \([9,10]\) for the gauge couplings and extended in \([11]\) for the dimensionless parameters at two-loop. Recently, an alternative method was presented in \([12]\) in which the modifications to the RGEs for the dimensionful parameters are also derived. We implemented the method of \([12]\) in the new version \([13]\) of the computer code PyR@TE \([7]\) which allows us to simply derive RGEs for a given model at two-loop taking into account kinetic mixing.

In this note we show that the impact of such kinetic mixing can be important for the high-energy behaviour of the theory parameters. To do so, we study the RGEs of the SM B-L model in which the SM has been supplemented by an additional U(1)\(_{B-L}\) group factor. We start in Sec. II by giving some details on how the kinetic mixing is implemented in PyR@TE. Sec. III summarizes the principle properties of the SM B-L model while Sec. IV is devoted to the study of the impact of kinetic mixing on the running of its parameters. Finally, our conclusions are presented in Sec. V.

II. KINETIC MIXING IN PYR@TE

Let’s first consider a gauge field theory with \(n\) U(1) group factors \(G \times U(1)\_1 \times U(1)\_2 \times \cdots \times U(1)_n\), where \(G\) corresponds to the non Abelian part of the gauge structure. Gauge invariance then allows one to write the following term in the Lagrangian:

\[ \mathcal{L} \supset \bar{F}_{\mu\nu} \xi F^{\mu\nu}, \]

where \(\xi\) is an \(n \times n\) symmetric matrix, and \(F^{\mu\nu}\) is the vector of the \(n\) field strength tensors associated to the \(n\) gauge groups U(1)\(_i\), \(i = 1 \ldots n\). This indeed introduces \(\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\) extra dynamical parameters. These additional parameters can be exchanged by corresponding effective gauge couplings that populate the off-diagonal entries of an extended gauge coupling matrix \(G = G\xi^{-1/2}\) \([12]\). Of course the two approaches are equivalent and one can recover the results obtained working with \(\xi\) by performing suitable rotations.

The advantage of the effective gauge coupling method is that it does not require the introduction of new parameters \(\xi\) and their RGEs but only promotes the gauge
I wish to add here a few personal reminiscences. At first our scientific world was intersected without us meeting personally. During preparation for my move to Arizona I was invited to lecture at Zakopane Summer school in 1986, and was featured in the poster that adorned my wall for the following decades. Also, I was not to resolve out Cape Town infrastructural challenges and the meeting happened without me. However, I tracked the research program of the organizer, and became an early admirer of intermictency in multiparticle production [1].

Many years and decades passed; we met each other semi-regularly at the Zakopane Summer school venue. However, some special events from the period come to mind:

a) Around 1995 I was preparing a long review article on strangeness as a signature of QGP - this was a time where a bound printed copy placed into hands of the "consumer" was important, and when the cost of "reprints" was where the cost of publication was hidden. This work found its path into the pages of Acta Physica Polonica B [2], filling its own issue and many, many more. I enjoyed many interesting conversations as well as a memorable evening at Wernynek Restaurant. The fact is that we did have many things in common in science and personal backgrounds though it might go without saying, there are rarely two people of such opposite character!

b) An unintended outcome of this exercise was that Andrzej, who may have been the referee, became interested in the interpretation of strange antibaryon experimental results. Considering ratios of strange antibaryons measured at CERN-SPS, Andrzej in 1998 saw in the early data evidence for quark-gluon plasma. It further presented an annotated catalog of foundational questions defining the research frontier which I believe can be addressed in the foreseeable future in the context of relativistic heavy ion collision experiments. The list includes topics that are specific to the field, and relate towards the "haves-to-be-known that may have a better chance time as compared to elementary interactions."

d) Visiting Kraków a dozen years ago I came to Andrzej's office for a chat which turned more serious. When the outcome was written up, Andrzej would not let me loose; in 2005 we finally became coauthors [5].

2 Charting the Future Frontier(s) of Particle Production

I wish to add here a few personal reminiscences. At first our scientific world was intersected without us meeting personally; during preparation for my move to Arizona I was invited to lecture at Zakopane Summer school in 1986, and was featured in the poster that adorned my wall for the following decades. Also, I was not to resolve out Cape Town infrastructure challenges and the meeting happened without me. However, I tracked the research program of the organizer, and became an early admirer of intermictency in multiparticle production [1]. When I invited Andrzej in 1998 to report on this new topic in Tucson at the "Hadronic Matter in Collision 1988" meeting, as the tide of times turned, it was he who could not come and instead Rob Peschanski accepted the joint invitation.

However, we could not escape a meeting for much longer. In October 1998 after 24 years I returned to Poland and saw my former home torn by civil war of disobedience. In all the disorder there was an almost working commune-fumes. I enjoyed many interesting conversations as well as a memorable evening at Wernynek Restaurant. The fact is that we did have many things in common in science and personal backgrounds though it might go without saying, there are rarely two people of such opposite character!

Years and decades passed; we met each other semi-regularly at the Zakopane Summer school venue. However, some special events from the period come to mind:

a) Around 1995 I was preparing a long review article on strangeness as a signature of QGP - this was a time where a bound printed copy placed into hands of the "consumer" was important, and when the cost of "reprints" was where the cost of publication was hidden. This work found its path into the pages of Acta Physica Polonica B [2], filling its own issue and many, many more. I enjoyed many interesting conversations as well as a memorable evening at Wernynek Restaurant. The fact is that we did have many things in common in science and personal backgrounds though it might go without saying, there are rarely two people of such opposite character!

b) An unintended outcome of this exercise was that Andrzej, who may have been the referee, became interested in the interpretation of strange antibaryon experimental results. Considering ratios of strange antibaryons measured at CERN-SPS, Andrzej in 1998 saw in the early data evidence for a new state of matter [3], agreeing with our insights [2].

c) To fill the need to create a public program allowing the experimental groups the study of the hadronization process quantifying their own results in a full-description, the Kraków IFJ and Tucson groups joined forces in 2002. Andrzej was instrumental in helping to build trust and collaborative spirit, and the outcome is the SHARE suite of programs, fully vetted by both groups [4].

d) Visiting Kraków a dozen years ago I came to Andrzej's office for a chat which turned more serious. When the outcome was written up, Andrzej would not let me loose; in 2005 we finally became coauthors [5].
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This short note describes the long collaborative effort between Arizona and Kraków, sharing some of the key strangeness signatures of quark-gluon plasma. It further presents an annotated catalog of foundational questions defining the research frontier which I believe can be addressed in the foreseeable future in the context of relativistic heavy ion collision experiments. The list includes topics that are specific to the field, and relate towards the "haves-to-be-known that may have a better chance as compared to elementary interactions."

Is Electroweak Symmetry Breaking Still Natural in the MSSM?
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Abstract

The absence of any signal of supersymmetry (SUSY) at the LHC has raised the SUSY particle mass scale compared to $Z$ boson mass $M_Z$. We investigate the naturalness of the electroweak symmetry breaking after considering radiative symmetry breaking along with 125 GeV Higgs mass. We find that the important quantity to measure the naturalness of the hierarchy between the SUSY scale and $M_Z$ is the separation between the radiative symmetry breaking scale, i.e., where $m_{H_u}^2 + \mu^2$ turns negative for large $\tan\beta$ case ($\mu$ is the Higgsino mass and $m_{H_u}$ is the SUSY breaking up-type Higgs boson mass) and the average stop mass. Using this measure, one can show that the electroweak symmetry breaking can be natural even if $\mu$ is large contrary to the prevailing claim that $\mu$ is needed to be small to maintain the naturalness.
A new $G$-parity violating amplitude in the $J/\psi$ decay?

1. Introduction

The $J/\psi$ meson as all the isoscalar vector mesons, having total angular momentum $J = 1$, negative $C$-parity, $C = -1$, and isospin zero, $I = 0$, posses a well defined $G$-parity, i.e., $G = -1$. Indeed, particles that are eigenstates of the charge conjugation with eigenvalue $C$, are also eigenstates of $G$-parity with eigenvalue $G = C \cdot (-1)^I$, where $I$ is the isospin.

$G$-parity is particularly useful because it is well defined also for those particles, which are not $C$-parity eigenstates, as those belonging to an isospin multiplets, that have all the same value of $G$. Moreover, being a multiplicative quantum number, states containing particles, eigenstates of $G$-parity, are themselves eigenstate of $G$-parity with eigenvalue equal to the product
Semi-leptonic Production of $D_{sJ}(3040)$ and $D_J(3000)$ in $B_s$ and $B$ Decays
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Abstract

In this paper, we study the productions of the newly detected states $D_{sJ}(3040)$ and $D_J(3000)$ observed by BABAR Collaboration and LHCb Collaboration. We assume these states to be the $D_s(2P)$ and $D(2P)$ states with the quantum number $J^P = 1^+$ in our work. The results of improved Bethe-Salpeter method indicate that the semi-leptonic decays via $B_s$ and $B$ into $D_{sJ}(3040)$ and $D_J(3000)$ have considerable branching ratios, for example, $\text{Br}(B_s^0 \to D_{sJ}^+(3040)e^-\bar{\nu}_e) = 5.79 \times 10^{-4}$, $\text{Br}(B^0 \to D_J^+(3000)e^-\bar{\nu}_e) = 2.63 \times 10^{-4}$, which shows that these semi-leptonic decays can be accessible in experiments.
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QCD Factorization Theorem
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ABSTRACT: We introduce a factorization theorem for semi-leptonic $b \to u$ transitions in
the exclusive decay mode $B^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ in the limit of large pion energies and large
dipion invariant mass. One contribution can be described in terms of a universal $B \to \pi$
form factor and the convolution of a short-distance kernel $T^I$ with the respective light-cone
distribution amplitudes (LCDAs) of the positively charged pion. The second contribution,
at leading power, completely factorizes, with a short-distance kernel $T^{II}$ convoluted with
the leading-twist LCDAs for both pions and the $B$-meson. We calculate the leading con-
tributions to the short-distance kernels $T^I$ and $T^{II}$ in fixed-order perturbation theory, and
discuss the approximate relations among the resulting $B \to \pi\pi$ partial-wave form factors.
Our results provide useful theoretical constraints for phenomenological models that aim to
analyze the complete $B \to \pi\pi\ell\nu$ phase space.

KEYWORDS: Heavy Quark Physics, QCD Factorization Theorems, Flavour Physics
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A Radiative Model of Quark Masses with Binary Tetrahedral Symmetry

Alexander Natale
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A radiative model of quark and lepton masses utilizing the binary tetrahedral ($T'$) flavor symmetry, or horizontal symmetry, is proposed which produces the first two generation of quark masses through their interactions with vector-like quarks that carry charges under an additional $U(1)$. By softly-breaking the $T'$ to a residual $Z_4$ through the vector-like quark masses, a CKM mixing angle close to the Cabibbo angle is produced. In order to generate the so-called neutrino oscillation pattern ($\theta_{13} \neq 0, \theta_{23} = \pi/4, \delta_{\text{CP}} = \pm \pi/2$) and protect the horizontal symmetry from arbitrary corrections in the lepton sector, there are automatically two stabilizing symmetries in the dark sector. Several benchmark cases where the correct relic density is achieved in a multi-component DM scenario and the potential collider signatures of the vector-like quarks are discussed.

1 Introduction

Neutrino mass and oscillation are thoroughly established experimentally [1–9], as is the strong evidence for cosmological dark matter (DM) [10, 11]; both are widely considered the best evidence for physics beyond the Standard Model (BSM), particularly given the robustness of the Standard Model (SM) at explaining the 2016 Run at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). Neutrino mass, and subsequent oscillation, pose an interesting set of questions: why is there such a large gap in the scale of neutrino mass relative to the rest of the fermion masses in the SM, and why is the neutrino oscillation the way that it is — comparatively large angles relative to the Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa (CKM) matrix? A general framework, proposed in 2006, tries to solve these issues by generating neutrino mass radiatively through the interactions of neutrinos with DM [12]. These so-called scotogenic, or Ma, models have provided an interesting way to connect neutrino mass and DM and have been extended to explain lepton and quark mass [13], which have interesting signatures at colliders [14–16]. Recently, there has been interest in extending such radiative models through the addition of vector-like fermions, and in particular vector-like quarks [17, 18]. Additionally, a program utilizing various non-Abelian discrete flavor symmetries, or horizontal symmetries, has been pursued to explain the particular pattern of neutrino oscillation (see Refs. [19, 20] for reviews). The measurement of $\theta_{13} \neq 0$ [21, 22], and the observation of a 125 GeV Higgs-like boson [23, 24], disfavors many minimal models of horizontal symmetries that seek to explain the structure of the Pontecorvo–Maki–Nakagawa–Sakata (PMNS) matrix. However, a recent proposal for a modified scotogenic model of neutrino mass with a tetrahedral ($A_4$) horizontal symmetry [25] is able to support the so-called co-bimaximal mixing pattern as a genuine prediction where $\theta_{13} \neq 0$, $\delta_{\text{CP}} = \pm \pi/2$, and $\theta_{23}$ is maximal. While $\theta_{23}$ being maximal is disfavored by NOvA at the 2.5σ level [26], the maximal value of $\theta_{23}$ is still consistent with most of the neutrino oscillation data [27–29] and as a co-bimaximal mixing pattern is still well supported by the data. This
Nonrelativistic Short-Distance Completions of a Naturally Light Higgs
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Nonrelativistic scalar field theories can exhibit a natural cascading hierarchy of scales, protected by a hierarchy of polynomial shift symmetries. Using a simple model, we argue that a high-energy cross-over to such nonrelativistic behavior naturally leads to light scalars, and thus represents a useful ingredient for technically natural resolutions of scalar mass hierarchies, perhaps even the Higgs mass hierarchy puzzle.

I. INTRODUCTION

Two of the most prominent puzzles of fundamental physics – the cosmological constant problem and the Higgs mass hierarchy problem – can be viewed as puzzles of technical naturalness\textsuperscript{[1]}. The 2012 discovery\textsuperscript{[2,3]} and the observed properties of the Higgs boson suggest that the Standard Model may be self-contained up to a very high scale. This intriguing possibility brings the naturalness puzzles back into renewed focus (see e.g.\textsuperscript{[4,5]}), and invites us to look for new ideas about naturalness.

There are two perspectives on naturalness: Technical naturalness, formalized by ’t Hooft\textsuperscript{[1]}, states that a parameter may be naturally small if setting it to zero leads to an enhanced symmetry. The stronger naturalness in the sense of Dirac\textsuperscript{[6,7]} simply requires that there be no “unexplained” small numbers in Nature. Our philosophy in this paper is to search for mechanisms which produce technical naturalness: explaining the permissibility of small numbers, but not necessarily their origin.

In the past few years, we have learned that the concept of technical naturalness exhibits many surprises in nonrelativistic settings (see\textsuperscript{[8]} for a brief review). New symmetries emerge\textsuperscript{[9]}, and they protect new hierarchies of Nambu-Goldstone bosons with cascading scales of partial symmetry breaking\textsuperscript{[10]}. In this paper, we apply this phenomenon to relativistic scalars such as the Higgs, and investigate the possibility of a crossover to nonrelativistic physics at high energy scales and its influence on the naturalness of a small Higgs mass.

A. Nonrelativistic physics and the Standard Model

For gravity, nonrelativistic physics with possible fundamental anisotropies between space and time is beneficial for improving the short-distance behavior, possibly leading to a UV complete theory\textsuperscript{[11,12]}. In contrast, there seem to be no similar benefits from viewing the Standard Model (SM) as a low-energy effective description of an underlying fundamentally nonrelativistic theory: The SM is already renormalizable and nearly UV complete (assuming that one can remedy the growth of the hypercharge coupling at high energies). However, an embedding of the SM into a nonrelativistic theory may be of interest, if it provides a way out of the Higgs mass hierarchy problem without ruining the observed Lorentz symmetry at accessible energies.

B. Lightning review of the naturalness puzzle for the scalar masses

The essence of the naturalness problem of a light scalar with nonderivative self-interactions (such as the Higgs) can be succinctly illustrated by considering a single relativistic scalar $\Phi(x^\mu)$ in $3 + 1$ dimensions with action

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x \left( \partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi - m^2 \Phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{12} \Phi^4 \right).$$

(1)

Can $m^2$ be small? Not independently of the value of $\lambda$: both nonderivative terms in\textsuperscript{[1]} break the same, constant shift symmetry $\Phi \to \Phi + \delta \Phi$ with $\delta \Phi = b$, and therefore must be of the same order of smallness (measured by $\varepsilon \ll 1$) relative to the naturalness scale $M$:

$$m^2 \sim \varepsilon M^2, \quad \lambda \sim \varepsilon.$$

(2)

This gives the following simple but important relation,

$$M \sim \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$  

(3)

which then implies the naturalness problem: $m$ cannot be made arbitrarily smaller than $M$ without $\lambda$ being made correspondingly small to assure that the naturalness condition\textsuperscript{[3]} hold. At typical values of $\lambda$ not much smaller than 1, $m$ will be of the order of the naturalness scale $M$, ruining the hierarchy. Note that this naturalness problem is present already before gauging.

Finding new ways around relations\textsuperscript{(2)} without putting technical naturalness in jeopardy is the main goal of this paper.